IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

DENYONNA N. REED,)
Plaintiff,))
V.) CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-22-CA-68-FB
I.Q. DATA INTERNATIONAL, INC.,))
Defendant.)

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 38), filed in the above-captioned cause on August 14, 2023, concerning Defendant I.Q. Data International, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to the Bona Fide Error Defense (docket no. 24) and Defendant I.Q. Data International, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (docket no. 25). To date, no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been received.¹

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court need not conduct a de novo review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and finds its reasoning to be neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson,* 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied,* 492 U.S. 918 (1989).

Any party who desires to object to a Magistrate's findings and recommendations must serve and file his, her or its written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the findings and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 635(b)(1). If service upon a party is made by mailing a copy to the party's last known address, "service is complete upon mailing." FED. R. CIV. P. 5(b)(2)(C). If service is by electronic means, "service is complete upon transmission." *Id.* at (E).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 38) is ACCEPTED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that Defendant I.Q. Data International, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to the Bona Fide Error Defense (docket no. 24) and Defendant I.Q. Data International, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (docket no. 25) are DENIED.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 7th day of September, 2023.

ERED BIERY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE